arXiv:1410.2702v1 [cs.IT] 10 Oct 2014

Generalized Hamming Weights of Irreducible Cyclic Codes

Minghui Yang, Jin Li, Keqin Feng, Dongdai Lin

Abstract-The generalized Hamming weight (GHW) $d_r(C)$ of linear codes C is a natural generalization of the minimum Hamming distance $d(C)(= d_1(C))$ and has become one of important research objects in coding theory since Wei's originary work [23] in 1991. In this paper two general formulas on $d_r(C)$ for irreducible cyclic codes are presented by using Gauss sums and the weight hierarchy $\{d_1(C), d_2(C), \ldots, d_k(C)\}$ $(k = \dim C)$ are completely determined for several cases.
keywords-generalized Hamming weight, irreducible cyclic code, Gauss sum.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements. A linear code C with parameters $[n, k]_q$ means that C is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ with dimension $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C$. For each r, $0 \leq r \leq k$, we denote the set of all r-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of C by $\begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$. For each $V \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$, the support of V is defined by

$$\mathrm{Supp}(V) = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{there exists } c = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in V \text{ such that } c_i \neq 0\}.$$

The r-th generalized Hamming weight (GHW) of a q-ary linear code C is defined by

$$d_r(C) = \min \left\{ |\mathrm{Supp}(V)| : V \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}$$

and $\{d_1(C), d_2(C), \ldots, d_k(C)\}$ is called the weight hierarchy of $C(d_0(C) = 0)$. It is obvious that $d_1(C)$ is just the minimun Hamming distance $d(C)$.

The concept on GHW has appeared as early as in 1970's ([12,17]) and has become an important research object in coding theory after Wei's paper [23] in 1991, where Wei gives a series of beautiful results on GHW and indicates that it completely characterizes the performance of a linear code when it is used on wire-tap channel of type II which has connection with cryptography. GHW is also used to deal with t-resilient functions and trellis or branch complexity of linear codes [20].

In past two decades the value of GHWs has been determined or estimated for many series of linear codes (RM codes [10,23], BCH codes [4,8,9], trace codes [19], cyclic codes [6,16], AG codes [2,5,7,15,18,25,26], binary Kasami codes [13] and other codes

[22,24]) and the weight hierarchy is totally determined in several cases. The bounds, asymptotic behaviour and duality of GHWs have been found [1,11,17,20,23]. But in general speaking, to determine the weight hierarchy is a difficult problem.

In this paper we deal with GHWs of q-ary irreducible cyclic codes. For binary case ($q = 2$) there exist several results in [14,20,21]. We consider the general case where q is any power of a prime number. We firstly present two general formulas on $d_r(C)$ ((3.3) and (3.4) in Theorem 3.2) which involves Gauss sums and character sum $\sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(\beta)$, where φ is a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_Q$ ($Q = q^k$) and H is a $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_Q$ with dimension r or $k - r$. When involved Gauss sums can be calculated and have the same values, the character sum is reduced to be the size of $H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$, where $\langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$. Then we can determine $d_r(C)$ for smaller r by (3.3) and larger r by (3.4). And the weight hierarch $\{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\}$ can be totally determined in several cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce several basic facts on Gauss sums and previously known results on GHWs. Then we present two general formulas on $d_r(C)$ and their direct consequences in Section 3. In Section 4 we obtain more results on $d_r(C)$ for several particular cases. Section 5 is conclusion.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Gauss sums

We introduce several basic facts on Gauss sums used in this paper. For more details on Gauss sums we refer the book [3].

Let $q = p^l$ where p is a prime number and $l \geq 1$, θ be a primitive element of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, namely $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$. Let $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}}$ for any positive integer m. The group of additive characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}_q} = \{\lambda_b : b \in \mathbb{F}_q\},$$

where

$$\lambda_b(x) = \zeta_p^{T(bx)} \quad (x \in \mathbb{F}_q)$$

and T is the trace mapping from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. The group of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}_q^*} = \{\psi^i : 0 \leq i \leq q-2\} = \langle \psi \rangle$$

where ψ is defined by $\psi(\theta) = \zeta_{q-1}$.

For each $\chi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}_q^*}$ and $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ we define the Gauss sum on $\mathbb{F}_q$ by

$$G(\chi, \lambda) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \chi(x)\lambda(x) \in Z[\zeta_{p(q-1)}].$$

Lemma 2.1: (1) (trivial cases)

$$G(\chi,\lambda)=G_q(\chi,\lambda)=\begin{cases} q-1, & \text{if } \chi=1(=\psi^0) \text{ and } \lambda=1(=\lambda_0)\\ -1, & \text{if } \chi=1 \text{ and } \lambda\neq 1\\ 0, & \text{if } \chi\neq 1 \text{ and } \lambda=1. \end{cases}$$

(2) For $b\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$ (namely, $\lambda_b\neq 1$)

$$G(\chi,\lambda_b)=\overline{\chi}(b)G(\chi),\ G(\overline{\chi})=\chi(-1)\overline{G(\chi)},$$

where $\overline{\chi}$ is the conjugate character of χ and

$$G(\chi)=G(\chi,\lambda_1)=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\chi(x)\zeta_p^{T(x)}.$$

(3) If $\chi\neq 1$, then

$$|G(\chi)|=\sqrt{q}.$$

From Lemma 2.1(3) we know that $G(\chi)=\sqrt{q}e^{i\theta_\chi}(0\leq\theta_\chi<2\pi)$ if $\chi\neq 1$. The exact value of Gauss sums $G(\chi)$ has been calculated for several particular cases. The following two cases will be used in this paper.

Lemma 2.2: (quadratic case, [3, Theorem 11.5.4]) Suppose that $q=p^l, p\geq 3, l\geq 1$, η is the quadratic multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$G_q(\eta)=\begin{cases} (-1)^{l-1}\sqrt{q}, & \text{if } p\equiv 1 \pmod 4\\ (-1)^{l-1}(\sqrt{-1})^l\sqrt{q}, & \text{if } p\equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3: (semiprimitive case, [3, Theorem 11.6.3]) Let p be a prime number, $e\geq 3$. Suppose that there exists a positive integer t such that $p^t\equiv -1 \pmod e$. Let t be the smallest positive integer satisfying $p^t\equiv -1 \pmod e$(so that the multiplicative order of p in Z_e^* is $2t$). For $l=2ts$ $(s\geq 1)$, $q=p^l$ and a multiplicative character χ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with order e, we have

$$G_q(\chi)=\begin{cases} \sqrt{q}(-1)^{s-1}, & \text{if } p=2\\ \sqrt{q}(-1)^{s-1+\frac{(p^t+1)s}{e}}, & \text{if } p\geq 3\ . \end{cases}$$

2.2 Bounds and duality of GHWs

Several bounds on GHWs of linear codes have been given in [20]. We list three of them.

Lemma 2.4: Let C be a linear code with parameters $[n,k]_q$. For $1\leq r\leq k$,

(1) (Singleton type bound, [20, Corollary 3.1])

$$r \leq d_r(C) \leq n - k + r \tag{2.1}$$

C is called r-MDS code if $d_r(C) = n - k + r$.

(2) (Plotkin like bound, [20, Theorem 3.1])

$$d_r(C) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n(q^r - 1)q^{k-r}}{q^k - 1} \right\rceil. \tag{2.2}$$

(3) (Griesmer like bound, [20, Corollary 3.3])

$$d_r(C) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \left\lceil \frac{d_1(C)}{q^i} \right\rceil. \tag{2.3}$$

The following beautiful result on dual relationship of GHWs has been given by Wei [23].

Lemma 2.5: Let C be a linear $[n, k]_q$ code, $k \geq 1$, $d_r(C)$ $(1 \leq r \leq k)$ and $d_s(C^\perp)$ $(1 \leq s \leq n - k)$ be the general Hamming weights of C and its dual code $C^\perp$ respectively. Then

(1) $1 \leq d_1(C) < d_2(C) < \cdots < d_k(C) \leq n$,

(2) $\{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{n + 1 - d_s(C^\perp) : 1 \leq s \leq n - k\}$.

3 General Results

From now on we fix the following notations.

(A) $q = p^l$, where p is a prime number and $l \geq 1$.

(B) $n \geq 1, (n, q) = 1$, k is the order of q modulo n. Namely, k is the least positive integer such that $q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

(C) $Q = q^k, Q - 1 = en, \theta$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_Q$. Namely, $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$.

(D) $\alpha = \theta^e$ so that $\langle \alpha \rangle$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_Q^*$ with order n and $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_Q$.

(E) For each integer $m \geq 1$, $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}}$.

(F) T_q^Q is the trace mapping from $\mathbb{F}_\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$.

(G) For a vector space V over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\begin{bmatrix} V \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ denotes the set of all r-dimentional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspaces of V.

For a monic polynomial $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $f(0) \neq 0$, the reciprocal polynomial of $f(x)$ is the monic polynomial $\widehat{f}(x) = f(0)^{-1}x^d f(x^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Let $h(x)$ be the minimum polynomial of α^{-1} over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $h(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x], \deg(h(x)) = k$ and

$$x^n - 1 = h(x)g(x),\ g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],\ \deg g(x) = n - k.$$

In this paper we deal with the irreducible cyclic code $C = C(\alpha)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with generating polynomial $g(x)$. Namely,

$$C = (g(x)) \subseteq \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{(x^n - 1)}.$$

The parity-check polynomial of C is the irreducible polynomial of $h(x)$. The parameters of C are $[n, k]_q$ where n is the length of codewords and $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C$. It is well-known that the dual code $C^\perp$ of C is also cyclic with parameters $[n, n-k]_q$ and the generating polynomial of $C^\perp$ is $\widehat{h}(x)$.

Our starting point in this paper is the following trace expression of $C = C(\alpha)$: each codeword in C can be uniquely expressed by

$$c(\beta) = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) = (T_q^Q(\beta), T_q^Q(\beta\alpha), \ldots, T_q^Q(\beta\alpha^{n-1})) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\ (\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q) \tag{3.1}$$

$$C(\alpha) = \{c(\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q\}. \tag{3.2}$$

From uniqueness of trace expression (3.1), we know that for $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{F}_Q$, the codewords $c(\beta_1), \ldots, c(\beta_r)$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear independent if and only if $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r$ are $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear independent. Therefore, for $1 \leq r \leq k$, the mapping

$$\Phi : \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \to \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q,\ \Phi(H_r) = \{c(\beta) : \beta \in H_r\} \left(H_r \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right)$$

is $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear one-to-one corresponding.

With above preparation, we can get the following two general formulas on generalized Hamming weight $d_r(C)$ of irreducible cyclic codes $C = C(\alpha)$.

Theorem 3.1: Let $q = p^l$, $(n, q) = 1$, $Q = q^k$ where k is the order of q in Z_n^*, $Q - 1 = en$, $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle\theta\rangle, \alpha = \theta^e$ and $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1})$. Let $C = C(\alpha)$ be the irreducible $[n, k]_q$ cyclic code defined by (3.1) and (3.2). Then for each r, $1 \leq r \leq k$, $d_r(C) = n - N_r$ and

(1)

$$N_r = \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

where φ is the multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_Q$ defined by $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$, and $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)(1 \leq \tau \leq e' - 1)$ is the Gauss sums over $\mathbb{F}_Q$.

(2)

$$N_r = \frac{e'}{e} \max \left\{ |H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ k - r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof: (1). For each $C_r \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$, let $H_r = \Phi^{-1}(C_r)$ be the corresponding subspace of $\mathbb{F}_Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(C) &= \min \left\{ |\mathrm{Supp}(C_r)| : C_r \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \\ &= n - N_r, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$N_r = \max \left\{ N(C_r) : C_r \in \begin{bmatrix} C \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(C_r) &= \sharp\{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for each } c = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in C_r, c_i = 0\} \\ &= \sharp\{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ for each } \beta \in H_r, T_q^Q(\beta\alpha^i) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r\}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis of H_r. It is easy to see that for each i, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $T_q^Q(\beta\alpha^i) = 0$ for all $\beta \in H_r$ if and only if $T_q^Q(\beta_\lambda \alpha^i) = 0$ $(1 \leq \lambda \leq r)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{1}{q^r}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{x_1\in\mathbb{F}_q}\zeta_p^{T_p^q(T_q^Q(\beta_1\alpha^i)x_1)}\right)\cdots\left(\sum_{x_r\in\mathbb{F}_q}\zeta_p^{T_p^q(T_q^Q(\beta_r\alpha^i)x_r)}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{q^r}\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_r\in\mathbb{F}_q}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\zeta_p^{T_p^Q\left(\alpha^i(\beta_1x_1+\cdots+\beta_rx_r)\right)}\\
&= \frac{1}{q^r}\sum_{\beta\in H_r}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\alpha^i)}\\
&= \frac{n}{q^r}+\frac{1}{q^r}\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\alpha^i)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let χ be the multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle\theta\rangle$ defined by $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$. From $\alpha = \theta^e$ we know that for each $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q^*$,

$$
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1}\chi^\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } x\in\langle\alpha\rangle\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{n}{q^r}+\frac{1}{eq^r}\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_Q^*}\zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta x)}\sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1}\chi^\lambda(x)\\
&= \frac{n}{q^r}+\frac{1}{eq^r}\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta)G_Q(\chi^\lambda) \qquad \text{(by Lemma 2.1(2))}\\
&= \frac{n}{q^r}+\frac{1}{eq^r}\sum_{\lambda=0}^{e-1}G_Q(\chi^\lambda)\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta). \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since H_r is $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space, for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a(H_r\backslash\{0\}) = H_r\backslash\{0\}$. If there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $\overline{\chi}^\lambda(a) \neq 1$, then

$$
\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta) = \sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta a) = \overline{\chi}^\lambda(a)\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta)
$$

we get $\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta) = 0$. Thus the formula (3.7) becomes

$$
N(C_r) = \frac{n}{q^r}+\frac{1}{eq^r}\sum_{\substack{\lambda=0\\ \chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*)=1}}^{e-1}G_Q(\chi^\lambda)\sum_{\beta\in H_r\backslash\{0\}}\overline{\chi}^\lambda(\beta).
$$

From $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \left\langle \theta^{\frac{Q-1}{q-1}} \right\rangle$ and $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$ we know that

$$\chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow 1 = \chi^\lambda(\theta^{\frac{Q-1}{q-1}}) = \zeta_e^{\lambda \cdot \frac{Q-1}{q-1}} \Longleftrightarrow e \Big| \lambda \cdot \frac{Q-1}{q-1}$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \frac{e}{e'} \Big| \lambda \ \left(e' = \left(e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1} \right) \right) .$$

Let $e = e'd$. By $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$ and $\chi(\theta) = \zeta_e$ we know that $\varphi = \chi^d$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \{\chi^\lambda : 0 \le \lambda \le e-1, \chi^\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q^*) = 1\} =& \{\chi^{d\tau} : 0 \le \tau \le e'-1\} \\ =& \{\varphi^\tau : 0 \le \tau \le e'-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

And then

$$\begin{aligned} N(C_r) &= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{n}{q^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \left[-(|H_r| - 1) + \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \right] \\ &= \frac{q^k - 1}{eq^r} - \frac{q^r - 1}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The formula (3.3) is derived from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8).

(2). It is well-known that for $x \in \mathbb{F}_Q$,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\gamma x)} = \begin{cases} |H_r^\perp| = q^{k-r}, & \text{if } x \in H_r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $H_r^\perp$ is the dual of H_r in C. Therefore for $\varphi^\tau \neq 1$ (we assume $\varphi^\tau(0) = 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in H_r \setminus \{0\}} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in H_r} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) &= \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\gamma)} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_Q^*} \zeta_p^{T_p^Q(\beta\gamma)} \overline{\varphi}^\tau(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-r}} \sum_{\gamma \in H_r^\perp \setminus \{0\}} G_Q(\overline{\varphi}^\tau) \varphi^\tau(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
N(C_r) &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^k}\sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau)G_Q(\overline{\varphi}^\tau)\sum_{\gamma\in H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\}}\varphi^\tau(\gamma)\\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{e}\sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1}\varphi^\tau(-1)\sum_{\gamma\in H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\}}\varphi^\tau(\gamma) \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1(2))}\\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{e}\sum_{\gamma\in H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\}}\sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1}\varphi^\tau(\gamma) \qquad (\text{since } -H_r^\perp = H_r^\perp)\\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{|H_r^\perp| - 1}{e} + \frac{1}{e}\sum_{\gamma\in H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\}}\sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1}\varphi^\tau(\gamma)\\
&= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k-r} - 1}{e} + \frac{e'}{e}|H_r^\perp\bigcap\langle\theta^{e'}\rangle| = \frac{e'}{e}|H_r^\perp\bigcap\langle\theta^{e'}\rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get (3.4) from (3.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1, we consider the case $e' = 1$. In this case, either by (3.3), where the summation in right-hand side is zero, or by (3.4) where $H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle\theta\rangle$ and $|H_r^\perp\bigcap\langle\theta\rangle| = |H_r^\perp\setminus\{0\}| = q^{k-r} - 1$, we get the following simple result.

Corollary 3.2: (case $e' = 1$) Let $q = p^l, Q = q^k, Q - 1 = en$, and C be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1. If $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) = 1$ (which means that $e \mid q-1$ and $(e, k) = 1$), then

$$d_r(C) = \frac{q^k - q^{k-r}}{e} \qquad (0 \le r \le k).$$

Remark: (1) From $d_r(C) = \frac{n(q^r-1)q^{k-r}}{q^k-1}$ we know that in the case $e' = 1$, $d_r(C)$ meets the Plotkin type bound and the Griesmer type bound for all r, $1 \le r \le k$. Namely, both of (2.2) and (2.3) in Lemma 2.4 are equality.

(2) From Lemma 2.5(2) we know that for case $e' = 1$ and $k \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{d_1(C^\perp), \ldots, d_{n-k}(C^\perp)\}\\
&= \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\setminus\{n + 1 - d_k(C), \ldots, n + 1 - d_1(C)\}\\
&= \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\setminus\left\{n + 1 - n, n + 1 - \left(n - \frac{q^k - q^{k-1}}{eq^{k-1}}\right), \ldots, n + 1 - \left(n - \frac{q^k - q}{eq}\right)\right\}\\
&= \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\setminus\left\{1, 1 + \frac{q-1}{e}, \ldots, 1 + \frac{q^{k-1} - 1}{e}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d_{n-k-i}(C^\perp) = n - i \qquad \left(0 \le i \le n - \left(\frac{q^k - 1}{e} + 2\right)\right).$$

Namely, $d_r(C^\perp) = n - (n-k) + r$ for $\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{e} + 2 - k \le r \le n-k$. By (2.1) in Lemma 2.4 we know that $C^\perp$ is r-MDS code for r satisfying $\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{e} + 2 - k \le r \le n-k$.

From now on we assume $e' \ge 2$. This can be devided two subcases:

(A) $e' | q-1$. In this case, $e' = (e, \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}) | \frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$, we get $e'|k$.

(B) $e' \nmid q-1$.

For case (A) we can determine $d_r(C)$ for larger r.

Theorem 3.3: Suppose that $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) | q-1$ and $e' \ge 2$. C is the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters $[n,k]_q$. Let $k = e'm$. Then for $k - m \le r \le k$,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1).$$

Proof: From $e' \mid q-1$ we get

$$\left(e', \frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}\right) = (e', q^{(e'-1)m} + q^{(e'-2)m} + \cdots + q^m + 1) = (e', e') = e'.$$

Namely, $e' | \frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}$. Consider the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$. From $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* = \langle \theta^{\frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}} \rangle$ we get $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^* \subseteq \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$. For $k - m \le r \le k$, we have $0 \le k - r \le m$ so that we can take H as a $(k-r)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Then $|H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = |H \backslash \{0\}| = q^{k-r} - 1$ reachs the maximum value in the right-hand side of (3.4). Therefore $N_r = \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$ and $d_r(C) = n - N_r = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$. □

For case (B), the following result can be proved in similar way.

Theorem 3.4: Let C be the irreducible cyclic code with parameter $[n,k]_q$. Suppose that $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) \nmid q-1$. Let m be a positive factor of k such that $e' | \frac{q^k-1}{q^m-1}$. Then for $k - m \le r \le k$, $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$.

Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 are general results for case $e' \ge 2$ by using formula (3.4). On the other hand, formula (3.3) involves related Gauss sums $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)(1 \le \tau \le e' - 1)$. In next section we will get further results on $d_r(C)$ for smaller r by using (3.3) in several particular cases where the Gauss sums $G_Q(\varphi^\tau)(1 \le \tau \le e' - 1)$ have the same value.

4 Further Results on $d_r(C)$

In Section 3 we have determined the weight hierarchy of the irreducible cyclic code C in case $e' = 1$ and the values of $d_r(C)$ for larger r in case $e' \ge 2$ by (3.4). In this section we determine $d_r(C)$ for smaller r by using formula (3.3) for case $e' = 2$ and semiprimitive case.

(I) $e'=2$ case

Theorem 4.1: Let $q=p^l, Q=q^k, Q-1=en$ and C be the irreducible cyclic code with parameters $[n,k]_q$ given in Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $e'=(e,\frac{Q-1}{q-1})=2$ so that p is an odd prime number and $k=2s$ is even. Then the weight hierarchy of C is

$$d_r(C)=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{e}(q^s-1)(q^{s-r}+1), & \text{for } 0\le r\le s\\ \frac{1}{e}(q^{2s}-2q^{2s-r}+1), & \text{for } s\le r\le 2s=k.\end{cases}$$

Proof: Let η be the quadratic multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_Q$. Namely, $\eta(\langle\theta^2\rangle)=1$ and $\eta(\theta\langle\theta^2\rangle)=-1$. We have $d_r(C)=n-N_r$ and formula (3.3) becomes

$$N_r=\frac{q^{2s}-q^r}{eq^r}+\frac{1}{eq^r}\max\left\{G_Q(\eta)\sum_{\beta\in H\setminus\{0\}}\eta(\beta): H\in\begin{bmatrix}\mathbb{F}_Q\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q\right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 2.2, $G_Q(\eta)=\varepsilon\sqrt{Q}=\varepsilon q^s$ where $\varepsilon=1$ or -1. Now we consider the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2s}}=\mathbb{F}_Q$. From $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}^*=\langle\theta^{\frac{q^{2s}-1}{q^s-1}}\rangle=\langle\theta^{q^s+1}\rangle\subseteq\langle\theta^2\rangle$ we get $\eta(\mathbb{F}_{q^s}^*)=1$. For $0\le r\le s$, if $\varepsilon=1$, we take any r-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace H of $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$. We have $G_Q(\eta)\sum_{\beta\in H\setminus\{0\}}\eta(\beta)=\sqrt{Q}\sum_{\beta\in H\setminus\{0\}}\eta(\beta)=\sqrt{Q}|H\setminus\{0\}|=(q^r-1)q^s$. If $\varepsilon=-1$, we take $H'=\theta H\in\begin{bmatrix}\mathbb{F}_Q\\ r\end{bmatrix}_q$. Since $\eta(H')=-1$ we have $G_Q(\eta)\sum_{\beta\in H'\setminus\{0\}}\eta(\beta)=-\sqrt{Q}(-(q^r-1))=(q^r-1)q^s$. Therefore the maximal value in right-hand side of (4.1) is $(q^r-1)q^s$ so that

$$d_r(C)=\frac{q^{2s}-q^r}{eq^r}+\frac{(q^r-1)q^s}{eq^r}=\frac{1}{e}(q^s-1)(q^{s-r}+1)\ \ (\text{for } 0\le r\le s).$$

On the other hand, $e'=2|\frac{q^{2s}-1}{q^s-1}$. By Theorem 3.4 we get

$$d_r(C)=n-\frac{2}{e}(q^{k-r}-1)=\frac{1}{e}(q^{2s}-2q^{2s-r}+1)\ (\text{for } s\le r\le 2s=k).$$

(II) Semiprimitive Case

From now on we assume that $e'\ge 3$. The semiprimitive (or called "self-conjugated") case means that the following condition $(*)$ is satisfied.

$(*)$ There exists integer t such that $p^t\equiv -1(\text{mod } e')$.

We always assume that t is the smallest positive integer satisfying $p^t\equiv -1(\text{mod } e')$. Then the order of p in $Z_{e'}^*$ is $2t$ and for $q=p^l, Q=q^k=p^{lk}$, from $e'|Q-1$ we get $2t|lk$. Namely, $lk=2ts$ $(s\in Z)$.

As in Section 3, for $e'\ge 3$ we divide the following two subcases:

(A) $e'|q-1$, namely $2t|l$. In this case $e'|k$.

(B) $e' \nmid q-1$, namely $2t \nmid l$.

Theorem 3.1 shows that $d_r(C) = n - N_r$ and N_r has the expression (3.3). Namely,

$$N_r = \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{1}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} G_Q(\varphi^\tau) \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \tag{4.2}$$

where φ is the multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_Q^* = \langle \theta \rangle$ with order e' defined by $\varphi(\theta) = \zeta_{e'}$.

For each τ, $1 \le \tau \le e'-1$, the order of φ^τ is $e_\tau = \frac{e'}{(e',\tau)}$. From the semiprimitive condition $(*)$ we have $p^t \equiv -1 (\mathrm{mod}\ e_\tau)$. Let t_τ be the least positive integer satisfying $p^{t_\tau} \equiv -1 (\mathrm{mod}\ e_\tau)$. Then the order of p in $Z_{e_\tau}^*$ is $2t_\tau$ so that $2t_\tau | 2t$, and $t = t_\tau m_\tau$ ($m_\tau \in Z$). Then $lk = 2ts = 2t_\tau m_\tau s$. By Lemma 2.3, for $1 \le \tau \le e'-1$ we have

$$G_Q(\varphi^\tau) = \sqrt{Q}\varepsilon_\tau,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_\tau = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_\tau s - 1}, & \text{for } p = 2 \\ (-1)^{m_\tau s - 1 + \frac{(p^{t_\tau}+1)m_\tau s}{e_\tau}}, & \text{for } p \ge 3. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Firstly we consider the subcase (A).

Theorem 4.2: Let $q = p^l, Q = q^k, Q-1 = en, e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) \ge 3$. Assume that the semiprimitive condition $(*)$ holds where t is the least positive integer satisfying $p^t \equiv -1 (\mathrm{mod}\ e')$, so that $lk = 2ts$. Let C be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters $[n,k]_q$. If $e' | q-1$ (which means $2t | l$) so that $k = k'e'$ ($k' \in Z$). Then

(1) For $k - k' \le r \le k$, $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$.

(2) If s is even, then for $1 \le r \le k'$,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

(3) If $2 \nmid se'$, then for $1 \le r \le k'$,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + (e'-1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

Proof: (1). For $k - k' \le r \le k$ we have $0 \le k - r \le k'$. Let $Q' = q^{k'}$. From $e' \mid q-1 \mid Q'-1$ we know that $(e', \frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}) = (e', \frac{k}{k'}) = (e', e') = e'$. Therefore $e' | \frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}$ and the conclusion of (1) can be derived from Theorem 3.4.

(2). If $2|s$, then all $G(\varphi^\tau)$ $(1 \le \tau \le e'-1)$ are $-\sqrt{Q}$ by formula (4.3). Then formula (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N_r &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \\ &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}(q^r-1)}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \\ &= \frac{q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r-1)}{eq^r} - \frac{e'q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \min \left\{ |H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For $1 \le r \le k'$, we take an r-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace H' of $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$. Then for $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace $H = \theta H'$ of $\mathbb{F}_Q$ we get the minimum value $|H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = 0$ in the right-hand side of (4.4). Therefore

$$d_r(C) = n - N_r = n - \frac{q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r-1)}{eq^r}.$$

(3) If $2 \nmid se'$, then s, all $e_\tau(|e')(1 \le \tau \le e'-1)$ are odd. From $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$ and $p^{t_\tau} \equiv -1 (\mathrm{mod}\ e_\tau)$, we know that all $m_\tau = t/t_\tau (1 \le \tau \le e'-1)$ are odd. By (4.3) we get $\varepsilon_\tau = 1$ and $G_Q(\varphi^\tau) = q^{k/2}$ for all τ, $1 \le \tau \le e'-1$. Then formula (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N_r &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \\ &= \frac{q^k - q^r}{eq^r} - \frac{q^{k/2}(q^r-1)}{eq^r} + \frac{q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in H \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{e'-1} \varphi^\tau(\beta) : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\} \\ &= \frac{q^k - q^r - q^{k/2}(q^r-1)}{eq^r} + \frac{e'q^{k/2}}{eq^r} \max \left\{ |H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| : H \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_Q \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

For $1 \le r \le k'$, we take H as a r-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$. Then $|H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle| = q^r - 1$ is the maximal value in the right-hand side of (4.5). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d_r(C) = n - N_r &= n - \frac{1}{eq^r} \left[q^k - q^r - q^{k/2}(q^r-1) + e'q^{k/2}(q^r-1) \right] \\ &= n - \frac{1}{eq^r} \left[q^k - q^r + (e'-1)q^{k/2}(q^r-1) \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the subcase (B).

Theorem 4.3: Let $q = p^l, Q = q^k, Q-1 = en$ and $e' = (e, \frac{Q-1}{q-1}) \geq 3$. Suppose that the semiprimitive condition $(*)$ holds where t is the least positive number satisfying $p^t \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}$, so that $lk = 2ts$. Let C be the irreducible cyclic code in Theorem 3.1 with parameters $[n,k]_q$. Suppose that $e' \nmid q-1$ which means that $2t \nmid l$. Let

$$l = 2^a l',\ k = 2^b k',\ t = 2^c t',\ 2 \nmid l'k't' \tag{4.6}$$

and assume that $c \geq a$. Let $m = 2^{c-a}k'$. Then

(1) For $k - m \leq r \leq k$, $d_r(C) = n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r} - 1)$.

(2) If $2|s$, then for $1 \leq r \leq m$,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

(3) If $2 \nmid se'$, then for $1 \leq r \leq m$,

$$d_r(C) = n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + (e'-1)q^{k/2}(q^r - 1)).$$

Proof: (1). Consider the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}$ where $Q' = q^m = p^{lm}$. From (4.6) and $lk = 2ts$ we know that

$$lm = l \cdot 2^{c-a}k' = 2^c l'k' = 2^c t's' = ts'$$

where s' is the odd part of s. From $lm = ts' | 2ts = lk$ we know that $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}'} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since s' is odd, we have

$$Q' = p^{ts'} \equiv -1 \pmod{e'}. \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, from $lk = 2ts$ and (4.6) we get $a + b \geq c + 1$. Let $\tau = \frac{k}{m} = \frac{2^b k'}{2^{c-a}k'} = 2^{a+b-c}$, then $2|\tau$ and by (4.7),

$$\frac{Q-1}{Q'-1} = \frac{p^{lk}-1}{p^{lm}-1} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} p^{lm\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} p^{ts'\lambda} \equiv \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\tau-1} (-1)^\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{e'}$$

which means that $\mathbb{F}_{Q'}^* = \left\langle \theta^{\frac{Q-1}{Q'-1}} \right\rangle \subseteq \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle$. Then the conclusion of (1) can be derived from Theorem 3.4.

(2) and (3) can be proved by the same way as Theorem 4.2(2) and (3). □

In fact, under the condition $2 \nmid se'$, we can determine the total weight hierarchy of C.

Corollary 4.4: Under the assumptions $c \geq a$ and $2 \nmid se'$, the weight hierarchy of C is

$$d_r(C) = \begin{cases} n - \frac{1}{eq^r}(q^k - q^r + (e'-1)q^{k/2}(q^r-1)), & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq k/2 \\ n - \frac{e'}{e}(q^{k-r}-1), & \text{for } \frac{k}{2} \leq r \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Proof: In this case, $b = c - a + 1$ and $m = 2^{c-a}k' = 2^{b-1}k' = k/2$. The conclusion can be derived from (1) and (3) of Theorem 4.3. □

Remark: In binary case ($p = 2$), e' is always odd. The assumption of Corollary 4.4 becomes to be $c \geq a$ and $2 \nmid s$. Particularly for $q = 2, l = 1$ so that $a = 0$, the assumption becomes to be $2 \nmid s$, since $c \geq 0 (= a)$ is always true. This is just the Theorem 3 of [14], but two proofs are quite different.

5 Conclusion

By using Gauss sums, we present two formulas (3.3) and (3.4) on generalized Hamming weight $d_r(C)$ for irreducible cyclic code C. For cases $e' = 1$ and 2, the weight hierarchy $\{d_r(C) : 1 \leq r \leq k\}$ has been completely determined, so that the weight hierarchy of the dual code $C^\perp$ can also be determined by Lemma 2.5(2). In general case we can determine $d_r(C)$ for smaller r by (3.3) and bigger r by (3.4). For intermediate values of r, formulas (3.3) or (3.4) involve the extreme value of character sums $\sum_{x \in H \setminus \{0\}} \varphi^\tau(x)$ or $|H \bigcap \langle \theta^{e'} \rangle|$ where H pass through all r-dimensional or $(k-r)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ which may have their own interests in finite field theory.

The generalized Hamming weights of reducible cyclic codes will be the next topic for further research. Helleseth and Kumar [13] have determined the weight hierarchy for binary Kasami codes which are cyclic codes C over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} (q = 2^m)$ such that $C^\perp$ has two roots θ and θ^{q+1} where $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* = \langle \theta \rangle$. Recently many results on weight distribution of reducible cyclic codes for ordinary Hamming weight $d(C) = d_1(C)$ have been developed with various techniques. We hope that such techniques may help to determine generalized Hamming weight $d_r(C)$ for $r \geq 2$.

References

[1] A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg and S. Litsyn, "New Upper bounds on generalized weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1258-1263, May 1999.

[2] A. Barbero and C. Munuera, "The weight hierarchy of Hermitan codes," *SIAM J. Discr. Math.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 79-104, Jan. 2000.

[3] B. C. Berndt, R. J. Evans, and K. S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1997.

[4] J. Cheng and C-c. Chao, "On generalized Hamming weights of binary primitive BCH codes with minimum distance one less than a power of two," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 294-299, Jan. 1997.

[5] M. Delgado, J. I. Farrán, P. A. García-Sánchez, and D. Llena, "On the weight hierarchy of codes coming from semigroups with two generators," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 282-295, Jan. 2014.

[6] G. L. Feng, K. K. Tzeng, and V. K. Wei, "On the generalized Hamming weights of several classes of cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1125-1130, Jan. 1992.

[7] G. van der Geer, "Fibre Products of Artin-Schreier curves and generalized Hamming weights of codes," *JCT(A)*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 337-348, Jan. 1995.

[8] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, "On generalized weights of BCH codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 543-546, Mar. 1994.

[9] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt, "Generalized Hamming weights of BCH (3) revisited," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 300-301, Jan. 1995.

[10] P. Heijnen and R. Pellikaan, "Generalized Hamming weights of q-ary Reed-Muller codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 181-196, Jan. 1998.

[11] T. Helleseth, T. Kløve, V. I. Levenshtein, and Ø. Ytrehus, "Bounds on the minimum support weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 432-440, Mar. 1995.

[12] T. Helleseth, T. Kløve, and J. Mykkeltveit, "The weight distribution of irreducible cyclic codes with block lengths $n_1((q^l-1)/N)$," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 18, pp. 179-211, 1977.

[13] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, "The weight hierarchy of the Kasami codes," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 145, no. 1-3, pp. 133-143, Oct. 1995.

[14] T. Helleseth and P. V. Kumar, "On the weight hierarchy of the semiprimitive codes," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 152, no. 1-3, pp. 185-190, May, 1996.

[15] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, M. A. Tsfasman, and S. G. Vlăduţ, "The weight hierarchy of higher dimensional Hermitian codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 275-278, Jan. 1994.

[16] H. Janwa and A. K. Lal, "On the generalized Hamming weights of cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 299-308, Jan. 1997.

[17] T. Kløve, "The weight distribution of linear codes over $GF(q^l)$ having generator matrices over $GF(q)$," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 159-168, 1978.

[18] C. Munuera, "On the generalized Hamming weights of geometric Goppa codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 2092-2099, Nov. 1994.

[19] H. Stichtenoth and C. Voss, "Generalized Hamming weights of trace codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 554-558, Mar. 1994.

[20] M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlădut, "Geometric approach to higher weights," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 1564-1588, Nov. 1995.

[21] M. van der Vlugt, "On the weight hierarchy of irreducible cyclic codes," *JCT(A)*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 159-167, July, 1995.

[22] Z. Wan, "The weight hierarchies of the projective codes from nondegenerate quadrics," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 283-300, Oct. 1994.

[23] V. K. Wei, "Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1412-1418, Sep. 1991.

[24] V. K. Wei and K. Yang, "On the generalized Hamming weights of product codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1709-1713, Sep. 1993.

[25] K. Yang, P. V. Kumar, and H. Stichtenoth, "On the weight hierarchy of geometric Goppa codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 913-920, May 1994.

[26] M. Bras-Amorós, K. Lee, and A. Vico-Oton, "New lower bounds on the generalized Hamming weights of AG codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 5930-5937, Oct. 2014.